Filed 11/22/16 In re D.M. CA2/4

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

In re D.M., A Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

D.M.,

Defendant and Appellant.

B271102 (Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. TJ21982)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Gibson Lee, Judge. Affirmed.

James M. Crawford, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.

On March 10, 2015, a petition filed under section 602 of the Welfare and Institutions Code charged that on March 6, 2015, appellant D.M. committed a first degree robbery of a transit passenger in violation of Penal Code section 211 by taking property from a passenger's possession. In August 2015, the petition was amended to add a count of attempted second degree robbery. Appellant admitted the allegations.

A second Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 petition was filed on December 15, 2015, charging appellant with having committed one count of attempted second degree robbery in violation of Penal Code section 211 on December 13, 2015. At the adjudication hearing, Hugo Ortega testified that on that date he was on an elevator at a Green Line train station with two young men. One of the two -- later identified by Ortega in a field show-up as appellant -- said he had a gun, demanded Ortega's belongings, and reached into his pocket. Ortega moved appellant's hand and told him to stop. Marquise Williams, appellant's companion during the incident, testified he heard appellant tell Ortega he had a gun and saw appellant reach for Ortega's pocket. After appellant's arrest by sheriff's deputies, he admitted to having pretended to have a gun and going through Ortega's pockets.

The court found the factual allegations of the second petition true. At the dispositional hearing for both petitions, the court ordered appellant placed in a Camp Community Placement Program for a five- to seven-month term. The court imposed a maximum term of confinement of six years,

and awarded appellant 74 days of predisposition credit. Appellant filed a notice of appeal.

After reviewing the record, appellant's court-appointed counsel filed an opening brief asking this court to review the record independently pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. On July 29, 2016, we sent a letter to appellant's last known address, advising him that he had 30 days within which to submit by brief or letter any contentions or argument he wished this court to consider. We received no response.

This court has examined the entire record, and is satisfied no arguable issues exist. Appellant has, by virtue of counsel's compliance with the *Wende* procedure and our review of the record, received adequate and effective appellate review of the jurisdictional and dispositional orders. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 278.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

	MANELLA, J.
We concur:	
EPSTEIN, P. J.	
WILLHITE, J.	